FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FRAUD UNDER COMMODITY FUTURES MODERNIZATION ACT OF 2000 7 U.S.C. § 6b

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

261

27

28

(AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 28. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through 27 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 29. The Suppressed Facts and the MORONI suppressed fact, were material facts of import to CRONIN in his decisions and of which he was ignorant. These facts were suppressed by Defendants, despite their obligation to disclose them, with the intent to defraud CRONIN and with the intent to induce him to act in ignorance thereof. The Initial Misrepresentations, the Misrepresentations, and the WALES Misrepresentations were made with the intent to defraud CRONIN and with the intent to induce him to act thereon and were false and Defendants knew they were false. The true facts were, among others, those reflected in the facts that were suppressed and the fact that WALES was one of the worst account representatives at MONEX who did not have CRONIN's interest at heart, but was instead assigned to the account for the specific purpose of causing CRONIN to lose his investments and to preclude him from realizing his profits. Plaintiff is further informed and believes that WALES did not believe that the price of silver would increase at the time he so represented and warranted to CRONIN. In addition, the Futures Contract and all Futures Transactions emanating therefrom, are contracts for purchase and sale of a

commodity for future delivery within the meaning of 7 U.S.C. § 6(a) requiring Defendants to comply with the registration requirements of 7 U.S.C. § 6(a). Defendants entered the Futures Contract and made the Futures Transactions thereunder in violation of 7 U.S.C. § 6(a), all pursuant to their conspiracy. Accordingly, the Futures Contract and all Futures Transactions thereunder are illegal offexchange contracts.

- 30. CRONIN acted in ignorance of the facts that were suppressed and believed the representations and justifiably relied thereon. Had CRONIN known the true facts CRONIN would not have entered into the Futures Contract and would not have entered the Futures Transactions and would, instead, have made investments in the futures market with a legitimate company. Had CRONIN known the true facts with regard to the MORONI Misrepresentations and Suppression and the WALES Misrepresentations he would not have terminated his "short" transactions.
- 31. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants, pursuant to their conspiracy, desired that the Futures Contract and the Futures Transactions appear to be legitimate to CRONIN so as to gain his trust and confidence with the intent that he would invest substantial sums in short contracts with the intent of realizing a large return and then utilized the MORONI Misrepresentations and Suppression and the WALES Misrepresentations to cause CRONIN to abandon the "short" transactions. Plaintiff is informed and believes that in addition to causing CRONIN to lose in excess of \$1.3 million of the dollars that he had invested, which Plaintiff

is informed and believes enriched Defendants, that Defendants were also able to enrich themselves with the \$4-\$5 million dollars in profits that CRONIN would have realized had he not terminated his "short" positions.

- 32. The foregoing fraud exercised by Defendants in connection with the Futures Contract and the Futures Transactions were violations of 7 U.S.C. § 6b. In addition to their culpability pursuant to the conspiracy, Defendants are also all responsible for said violations under 7 U.S.C. § 13c by reason of the fact that each of the Defendants wilfully aided and abetted each of the other Defendants in the commission of said violations.
- 33. As a proximate result of said violations Defendants have caused Plaintiff to be damaged in an amount in excess of \$6.3 million and according to proof together with interest thereon at the legal rate of 10% per annum.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION CALIFORNIA COMMON LAW FRAUD (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 34. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 through 31, inclusive, as if fully set forth herein.
- 35. As a proximate result of said fraud Defendants have caused Plaintiff to be damaged in an amount in excess of \$6.3 million and according to proof together with interest thereon at the legal rate

of 10% per annum.

36. In doing the acts alleged herein, and by reason of the facts and circumstances alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, acted with oppression, fraud, and malice towards Plaintiff, entitling Plaintiff to an award of punitive damages in an amount in excess of \$24 million and according to proof.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION BREACH OF CONTRACT

(AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference Paragraphs 1 31, inclusive, of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 38. As alleged above, Plaintiff and Defendant MONEX entered into the Futures Contract and pursuant to that contract entered into various Futures Transactions which were controlled by the same terms set forth in the Futures Contract.
- 39. Plaintiff has performed all obligations required of it under said contract and said transactions.
- 40. MONEX breached the agreement with Plaintiff pursuant to the conspiracy alleged above by, among other things, providing through WALES a purported account strategy that was intended to fail, by providing an account representative that did not have the experience and sophistication promised, by failing to provide a

seller from which CRONIN could buy the bars to cover short transaction sales and failing to disclose to CRONIN that MONEX did not want to do so, and by taking acts, and making statements and representations that were calculated to deprive CRONIN of the benefit of his bargain.

41. As a proximate result of said breaches Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount in excess of \$6.3 million and according to proof together with interest thereon at the legal rate of 10% per annum and according to proof.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 42. Plaintiff incorporates herein by reference paragraphs 1 through 27 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 43. Plaintiff alleges, in the alterative, that the MORONI Misrepresentations, and the WALES Misrepresentations were made with the intent to induce CRONIN to act thereon and were false and Defendants made such representations with no reasonable ground for believing them to be true. The true facts were those reflected in the facts that were suppressed and the fact that WALES was one of the worst account representatives at MONEX who did not have CRONIN's interest at heart, but was instead assigned to the account for the specific purpose of causing CRONIN to lose his investments and to preclude him from realizing his profits. Plaintiff is

further informed and believes that WALES had no reasonable ground to believe that the price of silver would increase at the time he so represented and warranted to CRONIN.

- 44. CRONIN believed the representations and justifiably relied thereon. Had CRONIN known the true facts CRONIN would not have terminated his "short" transactions.
- 45. As a consequence of said misrepresentations and his reliance thereon Plaintiff lost in excess of \$1.3 million of the dollars that he had invested and is further informed and believes that he lost \$4 \$5 million dollars in profits that he would have realized had he not been defrauded but for Defendants' representations.
- 46. Accordingly, Defendants have caused Plaintiff to be damaged in an amount in excess of \$6.3 million and according to proof together with interest thereon at the legal rate of 10% per annum.
- 47. In doing the acts alleged herein Defendants, and each of them, acted with a wilful or conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff, entitling Plaintiff to punitive damages in an amount in excess of \$24,000,000 and according to proof.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 48. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1-31, inclusive, of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 49. By reason of the facts alleged hereinabove, including the entry of the Futures Contract and the representations of Defendants to Plaintiff, either a fiduciary relationship or a confidential relationship in which Plaintiff placed trust and confidence in the integrity and fidelity of Defendants was formed between Plaintiff and Defendants.
- 50. By reason of said relationship Defendants, and each of them, had a duty not to gain any advantage over Plaintiff by misleading him to his prejudice. Thus, Defendants had an obligation of full and honest disclosure of all material facts known to Defendants with regard to the Futures Contract and the Futures Transactions.
- 51. Defendants breached that duty to Plaintiff's prejudice through the acts, statements, and suppressions of fact alleged hereinabove.
- 52. As a proximate result of said constructive fraud, Defendants have caused Plaintiff to be damaged in an amount in excess of \$6.3 million and according to proof together with

interest thereon at the legal rate of 10% per annum.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

53. In doing the acts alleged herein, and by reason of the facts and circumstances alleged herein, Defendants, and each of them, acted with oppression, fraud, and malice towards Plaintiff and/or acted with wilful disregard for the rights of Plaintiff, entitling Plaintiff to an award of punitive damages in an amount in excess of \$24 million and according to proof.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION COMMON COUNT FOR MONEY HAD AND RECEIVED (AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS)

- 54. Plaintiff incorporates herein paragraphs 1 through 31 and 53 of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 55. Within the last two years, in Orange County, California, Defendants, and each of them, became indebted to Plaintiff in a sum, the exact amount of which is currently unknown, but which is believed to be in excess of \$6.3 million, and according to proof, for money had and received by Defendants for the use and benefit of Plaintiff.
- 56. Neither the whole, nor any part, due to Plaintiff Defendants, as alleged herein, has been paid, and there is now due, owing, and unpaid from Defendants, and each of them, to Plaintiff a sum in excess of \$6.3 million and according to proof together

1

2

3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28 with interest thereon at the legal rate of 10% per annum and according to proof.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays judgment against all Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

ON THE FIRST AND THIRD CAUSES OF ACTION

1. For damages in an amount in excess of \$6.3 million and according to proof, together with interest thereon at the legal rate of 10% per annum and according to proof.

ON THE SECOND, FOURTH, FIFTH AND SIXTH CAUSES OF ACTION

- 1. For damages in an amount in excess of \$6.3 million and according to proof, together with interest thereon according to proof.
- 2. For punitive damages in excess of \$24,000,000 and according to proof.

ON ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

1. For costs of suit, including reasonable attorney fees to any extent allowed by law.

2. For such other and further relief as the court deems just and proper.

Dated: November 14, 2008

JOHNSON AND ASSOCIATES

Einar Wm. Johnson

Attorneys For Plaintiff

DARTY CRONIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge David O. Carter and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Marc Goldman.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

SACV08- 1297 DOC (MLGx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

[] Eastern Division [X] Southern Division [] Western Division 411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053 3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134 312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8 Riverside, CA 92501 Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516 Los Angeles, CA 90012

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.

Einar Wm. Johnson, Bar No. 111105 (310) 783-0035 JOHNSONS AND ASSOCIATES 2370 West Carson St., Suite 141 Torrance, California 90501

Attorneys for Plaintiff DARTY CRONIN

	DISTRICT COURT CT OF CALIFORNIA					
DARTY CRONIN,	CASE NUMBER					
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	SACV 08-01297 DOC (MLGx)					
MONEX DEPOSIT COMPANY, a California limited partnership, LOUIS CARABINI, MICHAEL CARABINI, MIKE MORONI, DAVID GALA, DAN J. C. WALES, AND DOES 1 - 200 DEFENDANT(S).	SUMMONS					
TO: DEFENDANT(S): MONEX DEPOSIT COMPACARABINI, MICHAEL CARABINI, MIRAL A lawsuit has been filed against you. AND Description within 20 days after service of this summon must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached of content counterclaim cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 for motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Ein 2370 West Carson St., Suite 141, Torrance, California 90 fudgment by default will be entered against you for the region answer or motion with the court.	SE MORONI, DAVID GALA, DAN J. C. WALES, DOES 1 - 200 s on you (not counting the day you received it), you complaint complaint control amended complaint control the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer ar Johnson/Johnson And Associates, whose address is					
	Clerk, U.S. District Court					
Dated:NOV 1 4 2008	By: Deputy Clerk (Seal of the Court)					

[Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed 60 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].

CV-01A (12/07)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) Darty Cronin				DEFENDANTS Monex Deposit Company, a California limited partnership, Louis Carabini, Michael Carabini, Mike Moroni, David Gala, Dan J. C. Wales, and Does 1 through 200						
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are representing yourself, provide same.)				Attorneys (If Known)						
Einar Johnson, Johnson And Associates, 2370 West Carson St., Suite 141, Torrance, California 90501 (310) 783-0035										
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Place an X in one box only.)	II			RINCIPAL PART for plaintiff and o		For Diversity Cases efendant.)	s Only		
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	[→] ■3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)) Ci	itizen of This Stat		*	DEF	Incorporated or F		PTF □ 4	DEF □ 4
of Parties in Item III)			itizen of Another	of Another State						
IV OPICIN (Place on Vince	ne hov only)	Ci	mizen or Subject o	arore	ign Country 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□ 6	□ 6 ————————————————————————————————————
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in one box only.) If Original Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Appellate Court Reopened 5 Transferred from another district (specify): G Multiplication G Magistrate Judge 1										
	AINT: JURY DEMAND: 🗳 Y	es □ No					# 6 0 0 0 0 0	00 1 1		
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C.P. 23: Yes Yoo MONEY DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT: \$ \$6,300,000 plus and punitives										
	VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing and write a brief statement of cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.) 7 U.S.C. Section 1, et seq action for fraud re commodities futures									
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an X in one box only.)										
OTHER STATUTES	CONTRACT		TORTS		TORTS	W1000000000	PRISONER	220000200000000000000000000000000000000	BOR	
☐ 400 State Reapportionment ☐ 410 Antitrust	☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	PERSC □310 A	DNAL INJURY irplane	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	ERSONAL ROPERTY	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	PETITIONS Motions to	□ 710 Fair L Act	abor Sta	ındards
☐ 430 Banks and Banking	☐ 130 Miller Act	□ 315 A	irplane Product	□ 370	Other Fraud		Vacate Sentence	□ 720 Labor		
☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of		iability .ssault, Libel &	5	Truth in Lending Other Personal	□ 530	Habeas Corpus General	Relati ☐ 730 Labor		
□ 460 Deportation	Overpayment &	S1	lander	L 300	Property Damage			Repor	_	
☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Enforcement of		ed. Employers' iability	□ 385	Property Damage		Mandamus/	Disclo	sure Act	
and Corrupt Organizations	II I I D I Medicare Act I	□ 340 M	larine	RΔ	Product Liability NKRUPTCY	□ 550	Other Civil Rights	☐ 740 Railw ☐ 790 Other		r Act
☐ 480 Consumer Credit	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted		Iarine Product iability		Appeal 28 USC		Prison Condition	Litiga		
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	Student Loan (Excl.	□ 350 M	lotor Vehicle	L 422	158 Withdrawal 28		PRETTURE /	□ 791 Empl.		: .
☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/	Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of		Iotor Vehicle roduct Liability	LI 423	USC 157	I	PENALTY Agriculture	Securi PROPERT		ITS
Exchange	Overpayment of		ther Personal		VIL RIGHTS	□ 620	Other Food &	□ 820 Copyr		
USC 3410	Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits		ijury ersonal Injury-	1	Voting Employment	□ 625	Drug Drug Related	☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trade		
№ 890 Other Statutory Actions	☐ 190 Other Contract		led Malpractice	1	Housing/Acco-		Seizure of	SOCIAL	SECURI	TY
☐ 891 Agricultural Act ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization	☐ 195 Contract Product Liability		ersonal Injury- roduct Liability	□ 444	mmodations Welfare		Property 21 USC 881	□ 861 HIA (□ 862 Black		123)
Act	☐ 196 Franchise		sbestos Personal	1	American with	□ 630	Liquor Laws	□ 863 DIWC		
□ 893 Environmental Matters	REAL PROPERTY		jury Product		Disabilities -	1	R.R. & Truck	(405(8		TT.
☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 895 Freedom of Info. Act	☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure		iability IIGRATION	□ 446	Employment American with	1	Airline Regs Occupational	□ 864 SSID □ 865 RSI (4		1
☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determi-	1		aturalization pplication		Disabilities -		Safety /Health	FEDERAL	TAX SU	
	☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability		abeas Corpus-	□ 440	Other Civil	□ 690	Other	□ 870 Taxes or Def	(U.S. Pl endant)	aintiff
nation Under Equal Access to Justice	ILL 245 TOREFTOURCE LIMITING F			1 11		1		1		
•	290 All Other Real Property	□ 465 O	lien Detainee ther Immigration ctions		Rights	otes fulfil as press motoring		USC 1		ty 26

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number: SACV 08-01297 DOC (MLGx)

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

*Case 8:08-cv-01297-DOC-MLG Document 1-2 Filed 11/14/08 Page 14 of 14 Page ID #:27

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). IDENTICAL CASES: Ha If yes, list case number(s):	s this action been pr	reviously filed in this court ar	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? X No Yes			
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have If yes, list case number(s):	e any cases been pro	eviously filed in this court that	at are related to the present case? No □ Yes			
□ C.	Arise from the same Call for determinati For other reasons w	e or closely related transactio on of the same or substantial rould entail substantial duplic	ons, happenings, or events; or ly related or similar questions of law and fact; or ation of labor if heard by different judges; or and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.			
IX. VENUE: (When completing the	following informat	ion, use an additional sheet if	f necessary.)			
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. this box is checked, go to item (b).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
County of Los Angeles						
			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
County of Orange						
(c) List the County in this District; Note: In land condemnation ca			f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.			
County in this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country			
County of Orange						
* Los Angeles, Orange, San Bernar Note: In land condemnation cases, us			an Luis Obispo Counties			
X. SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PRO PER	ian At	Date ////08			
Notice to Counsel/Parties: The or other papers as required by law but is used by the Clerk of the Co	e CV-71 (JS-44) Ci v. This form, approvourt for the purpose	vil Cover Sheet and the infonced by the Judicial Conference of statistics, venue and initiati	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)			
Key to Statistical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:					
Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action			
861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))				
862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)				
863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				
863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))				

CV-71 (05/08) CIVIL COVER SHEET Page 2 of 2

Act, as amended.

U.S.C. (g))

All claims for supplemental security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security

All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42

864

865

SSID

RSI